

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/835,733	04/16/2001	Joerg Schlieffers	TELNP226US	6743
75	590 01/09/2003			
Himanshu S. Amin Amin & Turocy, LLP National City Center, 24th Floor			EXAMINER	
			LEE, DIANE I	
1900 East Ninth Street Cleveland, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 01/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
09/835,733	SCHLIEFFERS ET AL.		
Examin r	Art Unit		
D. I. Lee	2876		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b))
a) 🗵	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
ee have ee unde 2) as se	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension as been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or est forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if led, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
3.□ /	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: none.
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-34</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.⊠	Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> When La La D. I. Lee
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2876



Application No. 009/835,733

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Petteruti, Tracy, and Reynolds still meets the claim limitations as broadly set forth in the claims.

With respect applicant's request to withdrraw the 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph (see page 3, section II of the applicant's response) since the Examiner's interpretation of the claimed invention is correct; the examiner maintains her position. Although the examiner's assumption has correctly interpreted the claim language, it does not correspond to the clearity of the claim language. Claim 14 is still unclear and does not particularly point out the how the user selects the option and the microcomputer provides a display option based on the at least in part upon whether a user selects a left hand or right hand display option. Accordingly, claim 14 is remain rejected.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 14-34 remain rejected as set forth in the Final Rejection (see paper no. 13).